

# International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 13, Issue 8, Page 1101-1110, 2023; Article no.IJECC.99847 ISSN: 2581-8627

(Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

# Evaluation of Growth Rates of *Bt.* Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) Hybrid NHH-44 as Influenced by High Density Planting and Weed Management Practices under Rainfed Conditions in Marathwada Region of Maharashtra, India

S. G. Mane a\*, A. S. Karle a, S. C. Sallawar a and Y. B. Madagoudra a

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra-431 402, India.

# Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i82049

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

<a href="https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/99847">https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/99847</a>

Original Research Article

Received: 12/03/2023 Accepted: 16/05/2023 Published: 09/06/2023

#### **ABSTRACT**

A field experiment was conducted at experimental farm, AICRP on Integrated Farming Systems, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani (M.S.) during *kharif* seasons of 2020-21 on to study the "Impact of high density planting and weed management practice on growth and yield of *Bt.* cotton. (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.)" Treatment consist of Twelve treatment combinations comprising Three planting densities in main plot that is (S<sub>1</sub>-120 cm x 45 cm (18518 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>), S<sub>2</sub>-

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: sudhirmane055@gmail.com;

80 cm x 60 cm (20833 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $S_3$ - 90 cm x 45 cm (24691plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) and four weed management practices that is  $W_1$ - Stale seedbed technique + PoE Pyrithiobac Sodium 10% EC @ 62.5 g ha<sup>-1</sup> + Quizolfop-ethyl 5% EC @ 50 g ha<sup>-1</sup> + Straw mulching,  $W_2$ - Stale seed bed technique +PoE Glufosinate ammonium 13.5% SL @ 0.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + Hand weeding,  $W_3$ - Weed Free and  $W_4$ - Weedy Check.

The mean maximum AGR for plant height were recorded under high density planting 90 cm x 45 cm. Among different growth intervals 61-90 DAS recorded maximum AGR for plant height (1.664 cm day<sup>-1</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>), while mean maximum AGR for dry matter (2.358 g day<sup>-1</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded between 91-120 DAS under high density planting 120 cm x 45 cm. Among weed management practices, weed free treatment recorded mean maximum AGR for plant height (1.645 cm day<sup>-1</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>) 61-90 DAS interval, while mean maximum AGR for dry matter (2.441 g day<sup>-1</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded between 91-120 DAS. The mean CGR, RGR and LAI were also maximum under weed free treatment.

Keywords: Bt. cotton; hybrid nhh-44; planting; weed management practices.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Cotton (Gossypium spp.) is a key fibre crop in India, accounting for 85 percent of the textile industry's raw materials. Cotton is grown commercially as a cash crop in 111 nations around the world and is known as the "King of Fibers" or "White Gold." India occupies a unique position among the world's cotton-growing nations. Cotton is thought to have originated in the ancient world and was domesticated approximately 3000 B.C. The four lint-bearing Gossypium spp., G. hirsutum, G. herbaceum, G. arboreum, and G. Barbadense are grown in a agro-climatic conditions diverse of contributes nearly 65 percent of the textile industry's total raw material demands. Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) is a important cash crop and one of the oldest among the world's commercial crops and it is also considered as a backbone of the textile industry, owing to its lint contents.

Cotton is important for it's oil as well as its fibre and cotton seed cake (by products of the cotton oil mill) is an important livestock feed. Cotton seeds contain 15 to 25 per cent oil which is used in the soap industry after refining. Cotton seed cake is obtained after the oil is extracted, which provides a healthy feed for cattle concentrated organic manure. It has nitrogen content of 6.4 percent phosphorus content of 2.9 per cent, and potassium content of 2.2 per cent. Besides from its vital role in the national economy, it significantly contributes to foreign exchange. Almost one third of India's exports are from other countries earnings is from textile sectors of which cotton alone constitutes nearly 70 per cent of raw material.

The high density planting system is now being conceived as an alternate production system having a potential for improving productivity and profitability, increasing efficiency, reducing input costs and minimizing risks associated with India's cotton production system. A high density planting system leading to more rapid canopy closure and decreased soil water evaporation, is becoming popular to address water scarcity challenges. deployment Development and density planting to maximize yield potential, popularizing the system and mechanization of cotton picking and harvesting is the way forward for Bt cotton in India to stabilize the cotton production.

"Proper spacing between plant is an important agronomic factor that affect optimal use of resources and increase crop productivity. Plant density is a key factor for optimizing structure and increasing the photosynthetic capacity of the cotton canopy. Crop geometry and plant density are agronomic factors which enhance yield and profitability. Plant density affects light interception, moisture availability and wind movement further affect plant height, architecture, boll behavior, crop maturity and yield. An optimal plant density not only enhances the yield and fiber quality of cotton but also reduces fertilizer application and labor cost as compared to high plant densities without compromising yield. Fertilizer and irrigation can also be efficiently utilized in optimal plant density regimes. Globally, high planting density has become common in the cotton production systems. High plant density has more leaf shedding in late season along with lower weight boll production"

"High plant density produces more bolls per unit area and contributes to final yield, however, it also leads to a decrease in individual plant yield. Cotton growth, yield and quality perfection through optimal management practice is the continuous goal of cotton agronomists" [1].

"Plant density and boll retention have a direct and complex relationship which is influenced by like temperature, factors nutrition. physiology, genotype, water stress, competition for photosynthates, insects. Biomass production is also the prerequisite of cotton yield and biomass partitioned to reproductive organs contributes to the final yield. Due to its indeterminate growth, cotton accumulates high vegetative biomass. The biomass accumulation in cotton increases as cotton crops change from one growth stage to another; however, in the last growth stages, biomass decreases due to fruit and leaves shedding. In early growth phases, more light intercepts to lower parts of the plant due to a less dense canopy which helps in the establish of a good stand and increases the biomass" [2].

Yield in cotton depends on the climatic conditions, rainfall pattern, weed competition, mineral nutrients and incidence of pests and diseases. Weeds extract 5 to 6 times of Nitrogen, 5 to 12 times of Phosphorous and 2 to 5 times of Potassium more than cotton crop and thus reduces the cotton yield from 54 to 80%. Initial slow growth, wide row spacing, high dose of chemical fertilizers combined with prostate nature of its growth permit early and severe crop-weed competition resulting in loss of yield to the tune of 45 to 80 percent. Manual weeding has become costly due to insufficiency of laborer's and hence, it become enormously difficult to keep the crop weed free. Effective and economical weed control in irrigated cotton is possible through integrating pre- and postemergence herbicides along with hand weeding and inter-culturing.

"Herbicides have play important role in handling weeds in cotton. Herbicides make the weed control in cotton easy, efficient and economical. Pre-emergence herbicides are the primary option for chemical weed control in cotton. Some early post-emergence herbicides are also available for application in cotton. In addition, lay-by application of non-selective herbicides for weed control in cotton has also been reported. Introduction of glyphosate, dicamba and glufosinate resistant cotton helped to the weed

control in cotton. Knowledge about the current weeds infesting a cotton field is important for determining the most appropriate herbicide. Information regarding the kind of weeds, their growth stage and density will help to select the proper chemical treatment. All this information should be obtained through mapping weeds discretely in each field in a particular farm. Preemergence herbicides are usually applied immediately after cotton sowing. Moisture content is important for herbicide activity in the soil" [3].

"Weed management practices in cotton it includes stale seed bed techniques, hand weeding and straw mulching is useful for controlling weed growth. Stale seed bed is based on the principle of flushing out germinated weed seeds prior to planting of the crops depleting the seed bank in the surface layer of soil and reduction of subsequent weed seedling emergence. Weed population could be reduced by utilizing stale seed bed preparation to provide less competitive environment for crops during earliar growth stages of *Bt* cotton. Stale seed bed is formed trough slight hoeing operation by bullock pair or tractor drawn hoeing implements" [4].

Post emergence herbicide it include Pyrithiobac sodium 10% EC, Quizolfop-ethyl 5 % EC and Glufosinate ammonium 13.5 % SL etc. Play important role for controlling weed growth in *Bt* cotton.

"Cotton crop has long growth cycle, it has to pass through frequent rains/irrigations and therefore, weed problem is a serious production constraint. Losses caused by weeds in cotton range from 50-85 percent depending upon the nature and intensity of weeds. The critical period of weed competition in cotton was found to be 15-60 days. Weeds can reduce lint quality due to additional trash and staining of fibers leading to low grades and discounted prices. To be successful, weed management systems require advance planting and timely execution. Any delay in application may mean reduced control, higher herbicide use rates and herbicide costs. Majority of herbicides available in the market are not broad spectrum herbicides. Hence we need to go for combine of herbicides herbicide mixtures for broad spectrum weed control. The mixture of pyrithiobac sodium 10% EC + Quizolfop ethy I5% EC was control broad leaved and grassy weed respectively" [5].

Glufosinate ammonium 13.5 SL is post emergence contact herbicide. As contact herbicide Glufosinate ammonium is effective only where its come into contact with the plant. The primary mode of action of Glufosinate ammonium is the inhibition of enzyme glutamine synthetase.

### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A Field experiment was conducted during Kharif seasons of 2020-21 and 2021-22 at experimental farm, AICRP on Integrated Farming Systems, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani to evaluate the impact of high density planting and weed management practices on Growth and yield of Bt cotton. The site of experiment was clayey in texture with slightly alkaline in reaction (pH 8.0) The available nitrogen was in the range of low (222.40 kg ha  $^{1}$ ),  $P_{2}O_{5}$  medium (17.54 kg ha  $^{1}$ ) and  $K_{2}O$ was high (545.52 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The experiment was laid out in split plot design with three replications. Treatment consists in all Twelve treatment combinations consist of three planting density  $(S_1-120 \text{ cm x } 45 \text{ cm } (18518 \text{ plants ha}^{-1}), S_2-80$ cm x 60 cm (20833 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $S_3$ - 90 cm x 45 cm (24691plants ha-1 in main plot and four weed management practices .W1 - Stale seed bed technique + PoE Pyrithiobac Sodium 10% EC @ 62.5 g ha<sup>-1</sup> + Quizolfop-ethyl 5% EC @ 50 g ha<sup>-1</sup> + Straw mulching, W<sub>2</sub> - Stale seed bed technique +PoE Glufosinate ammonium 13.5% SL @ 0.7 kg ha $^{-1}$  + Hand weeding, W $_3$  - Weed Free and W<sub>4</sub> - Weedy Check.) Recommended dose of fertilizers 120:60:60 NPK kg ha-1 was applied during both the years of study. The Bt. cotton was sown by dibbling method on 05-07-2020 and 02-07-2021 after receipt of sufficient monsoon rains. The soil was medium black and well drained. The topography of the experimental field was fairly uniform and levelled. The 40 per cent of nitrogen and full dose of phosphorus and potash were applied as basal application at the time of sowing. Observations on plant growth and yield contributing characters were recorded at various growth stages.

#### 2.1 Absolute Growth Rate (AGR)

The rate of in a growth variable *i.e.* height (H) or dry weight (W) by a plant at a specific time interval (t) is called as absolute growth rate. It is expressed as cm per day in case of plant height and g per day in case in case of dry matter accumulation per plant. The AGR of two growth variables *viz.*, plant height and total dry matter

per plant were computed by the formula given by Richards [6].

AGR (Height) (cm day
$$^{-1}$$
) =  $\frac{H_2 - H_1}{t_2 - t_1}$ 

AGR (Dry matter) (g day<sup>-1</sup>) = 
$$\frac{W_2 - W_1}{t_2 - t_1}$$

Where,  $H_2$  and  $H_1$  are plant heights, while  $W_2$  and  $W_1$  are dry matter weights per plant at  $t_2$  and  $t_1$  times, respectively.

## 2.2 Crop Growth Rate (CGR)

The crop growth rate is commonly used for the determination of production efficiency of plant stand. CGR represents total dry matter productivity of the community per unit land area over a certain time span. CGR enables comparison to be made between stand communities of different types in different habitat [7]. This is determined by using the following formula.

CGR (g day<sup>-1</sup>m<sup>-2</sup>) = 
$$\frac{W_2 - W_1}{t_2 - t_1} x \text{ number of plants m}^{-2}$$

Where.

 $W_2$  = dry weight of plant at time  $t_2$  (g plant<sup>-1</sup>)  $W_1$  = dry weight of plant at time  $t_1$  (g plant<sup>-1</sup>)

### 2.3 Relative Growth Rate (RGR)

Blackman [8] pointed out that the increase in plant dry matter is a process of continuous compound interest where in the increment in any interval adds to the capital for the subsequent crop growth. This rate of increment is known as relative growth rate (RGR), which was worked out by the formula given by Fisher [9].

$$RGR(g g^{-1} day^{-1}) = \frac{Log_e W_2 - Log_e W_1}{t_2 - t_1}$$

Where,

 $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are the weights of dry matter in g per plant at times  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ , respectively and  $t_2$ -  $t_1$  is the time interval in days.

 $Log_e$  = natural logarithm to the base 'e' = 2.3026.

# 2.4 Net Assimilation Rate (NAR)

Gregory [10] introduced the concept of net assimilation rate (NAR) to obtain simple growth measurement to estimate the assimilatory efficiency of leaves. It is the rate of increase in

whole plant dry weight per unit leaf area. It indicates rate of net photosynthesis and is expressed as

$$NAR(g \ dm^{-2} \ day^{-1}) = \frac{(W_2 - W_1) \ (Log_e \ A_2 - Log_e \ A_1)}{(t_2 - t_1) \ (A_2 - A_1)}$$

Where.

 $W_2$  = dry weight of plant at time  $t_2$  (g plant<sup>-1</sup>)  $W_1$  = dry weight of plant at time  $t_1$  (g plant<sup>-1</sup>)  $A_2$  = leaf area plant<sup>-1</sup> at time  $t_2$  (dm<sup>2</sup>)  $A_1$  = leaf area plant<sup>-1</sup> at time  $t_1$  (dm<sup>2</sup>)  $Log_e$  = natural logarithm to the base 'e' = 2.3026

## 2.5 Leaf Area Index (LAI)

Leaf area ratio is the ratio of surface leaf area (one side only) to the ground area occupied by the crop plant. Crop yield in general is assessed based on per unit of ground area instead of per plant. The leaf area index was determined by using the formula Watson gave [11].

LAI = 
$$\frac{\text{Leaf area per plant (dm}^2)}{\text{Ground area per plant (dm}^2)}$$

## 3. RESULTS

The results of the present study have been summarised under following heads.

# 3.1 Absolute Growth Rate (AGR) for Plant Height (cm day<sup>-1</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>)

The mean absolute growth rate (AGR) for plant height (cm day 1 plant 1) of Bt. cotton was influenced by. From the data given in Table 1, it was evident that the mean absolute growth rate (AGR) values for plant height (cm day 1 plant 1) was maximum under (S<sub>3</sub>)- 90 cm × 45 cm at all growth interval stages, which was followed by 801 cm x 60 cm (S<sub>2</sub>). The highest mean AGR values of 1.664 cm day 1 was recorded between 61-90 DAS under 90 cm x 45 cm (S<sub>3</sub>), while 120 cm x 45 cm (S<sub>1</sub>) recorded lower values of 1.422 cm day 1 at same growth interval.

Among different weed management practices, the application weed free treatment (W<sub>3</sub>) resulted in maximum mean absolute growth rate (AGR) for plant height (cm day<sup>-1</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>) at all growth interval stages. It was followed by Stale seed bed technique + PoE Pyrithiobac Sodium 10% EC @ 62.5 g ha<sup>-1</sup> + Quizolfop ethyl 5% EC @ 50g ha<sup>-1</sup> + Straw mulching (W<sub>1</sub>) during both the years of study. The highest mean AGR values of 1.645 cm day<sup>-1</sup> was recorded between 61-90 DAS under weed free treatment (W<sub>3</sub>), while

weedy check (W<sub>4</sub>) recorded lowest values of 1.446 cm day<sup>-1</sup> at same growth interval.

# 3.2 Absolute Growth Rate (AGR) for Dry Matter (g day<sup>-1</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>)

The mean absolute growth rate (AGR) for dry matter (g day plant plant) of Bt. cotton was influenced by different tillage practices. It was evident from the data given in Table 1, that the mean absolute growth rate (AGR) values for dry matter (g day plant was maximum under 120 cm x 45 cm (S<sub>1</sub>) at all growth interval stages, which was followed by 80 cm x 60 cm (S<sub>2</sub>). The highest mean AGR value of 2.358 g day plant were recorded between 91-120 DAS under 120 cm x 45 cm (S<sub>1</sub>), while 90 cm x 45 cm (S<sub>3</sub>) recorded lower value of 2.197 g day at same growth interval.

The maximum mean absolute growth rate (AGR) for dry matter was observed under weed free treatment (W<sub>3</sub>) at all growth interval stages. It was followed by Stale seed bed technique + PoE Pyrithiobac Sodium 10% EC @ 62.5 g ha<sup>-1</sup> + Quizolfop ethyl 5% EC @ 50g ha<sup>-1</sup> + Straw mulching (W<sub>1</sub>) and Stale seed bed technique +PoE Glufosinate ammonium 13.5% SL @ 0.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + Hand weeding (W<sub>2</sub>). The highest mean AGR value of 2.449 g day<sup>-1</sup> was recorded between 91-120 DAS under weed free treatment (W<sub>3</sub>), while weedy check (W<sub>4</sub>) recorded lowest value of 2.004 g day<sup>-1</sup> at same growth interval.

# 3.3 Crop Growth Rate (CGR) for Dry Matter (g day<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>)

The 90 cm x 45 cm  $(S_3)$  resulted in maximum mean crop growth rate (CGR) for dry matter at all the growth intervals from sowing up to harvest, while 120 cm x 45 cm  $(S_1)$  recorded lowest CGR (Table 2). However, the highest CGR value of 5.404 g day<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> were recorded under 90 cm x 45 cm  $(S_3)$ , 120 cm x 45 cm  $(S_1)$  recorded minimum CGR value of 4.363 g day<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> between 91-120 DAS.

The maximum mean crop growth rate (CGR) for dry matter was observed under weed free treatment (W<sub>3</sub>) at all growth interval stages. It was followed by Stale seed bed technique + PoE Pyrithiobac Sodium 10% EC @ 62.5 g ha<sup>-1</sup> + Quizolfop ethyl 5% EC @ 50g ha<sup>-1</sup> + Straw mulching (W<sub>1</sub>). The highest mean CGR value of 5.214 g day<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> was recorded between 91-120 DAS under weed free treatment (W<sub>3</sub>), while weedy check (W<sub>5</sub>) recorded lowest value of 4.268 g day<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> at same growth interval.

Table 1. Mean Absolute Growth Rate (AGR) for plant height (cm day<sup>-1</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>) and for dry matter (g day<sup>-1</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>) of *Bt.* cotton hybrid as influenced by high density planting and weed management practices.

Treatments	Mean Absolute Growth Rate (AGR) for plant height (cm day <sup>-1</sup> plant <sup>-1</sup> )						Mean Absolute Growth Rate (AGR) for dry matter (g day <sup>-1</sup> plant <sup>-1</sup> )						
	0-30	31-60	61-90	91-120	121-150	151-At	0-30	31-60	61-90	91-120	121-150	151-At	
A) Main plot planting densitio	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	harvest	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	harvest	
A) Main plot – planting densitie		1 102	1 100	0.600	0.202	0.067	0.111	1 260	1 277	2.250	0.024	1 264	
$S_1 - 120 \text{ cm } \times 45 \text{ cm}$ (18518 plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.758	1.103	1.422	0.600	0.303	0.067	0.144	1.268	1.377	2.358	0.931	-1.364	
$S_2 - 80 \text{ cm} \times 60 \text{ cm}$ (20833 Plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.794	1.158	1.533	0.606	0.331	0.114	0.142	1.167	1.276	2.258	0.771	-1.166	
S <sub>3</sub> - 90 cm <b>x</b> 45 cm (24691 Plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.831	1.247	1.664	0.644	0.342	0.133	0.140	1.009	1.251	2.197	0.451	-0.806	
B) Sub plot treatments (Integra	ted nutri	ent man	agement	practices)									
W <sub>1</sub> - Stale seed bed technique + PoE Pyrithiobac Sodium 10% EC @ 62.5 g ha <sup>-1</sup> + Quizolfop ethyl 5% EC @ 50g ha <sup>-1</sup> + Straw mulching.	0.815	1.330	1.556	0.685	0.348	0.115	0.146	1.317	1.387	2.331	0.852	-1.344	
W <sub>2</sub> - Stale seed bed technique +PoE Glufosinate ammonium 13.5% SL @ 0.7 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> + Hand weeding.	0.785	1.192	1.504	0.533	0.326	0.093	0.145	1.096	1.349	2.299	0.811	-1.248	
W <sub>3</sub> - Weed free.	0.822	1.366	1.645	0.730	0.356	0.156	0.147	1.394	1.443	2.449	0.911	-1.504	
W <sub>4</sub> - Weedy check.	0.756	0.789	1.456	0.519	0.270	0.055	0.129	0.786	1.026	2.004	0.296	-0.351	
General Mean	0.794	1.169	1.540	0.531	0.325	0.105	0.142	1.148	1.301	2.271	0.718	-1.112	

Table 2. Mean Crop Growth Rate (CGR) (g day<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>) and mean Relative Growth Rate (RGR) (g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) of *Bt* cotton hybrid as influenced high density planting and weed management practices

Treatments	Mean Crop Growth Rate (CGR) (g day <sup>-1</sup> m <sup>-2</sup> )						Mean Relative Growth Rate (RGR) (g g <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )					
	0-30	31-60	61-90	91-120	121-150	151-At	0-30	31-60	61-90	91-120	121-150	151-At
	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	harvest	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	harvest
A) Main plot – planting densities.												
S <sub>1</sub> – 120 cm <b>x</b> 45 cm	0.267	2.346	2.547	4.363	1.109	-2.523	0.0488	0.0761	0.0246	0.0217	0.0055	-0.0085
(18518 plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )												
$S_2 - 80 \text{ cm} \times 60 \text{ cm}$	0.294	2.427	2.653	4.696	1.604	-2.425	0.0482	0.0741	0.0235	0.0209	0.0049	-0.0078
(20833 Plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )												
S <sub>3</sub> - 90 cm <b>x</b> 45 cm	0.344	2.483	3.077	5.404	1.722	-1.983	0.0478	0.0702	0.0227	0.0204	0.0031	-0.0058
(24691 Plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )												
B) Sub plot treatments (Integrated nutrient management practices)												
W <sub>1</sub> - Stale seed bed technique + PoE	0.311	2.762	2.949	4.961	1.740	-2.817	0.0493	0.0768	0.0245	0.0212	0.0051	-0.0084
Pyrithiobac Sodium 10% EC @ 62.5 g ha												
1 + Quizolfop ethyl 5% EC @ 50g ha 1 +												
Straw mulching.												
W <sub>2</sub> - Stale seed bed technique +PoE	0.309	2.304	2.855	4.841	1.655	-2.529	0.0490	0.0716	0.0240	0.0200	0.0045	-0.0082
Glufosinate ammonium 13.5% SL @ 0.7												
kg ha <sup>-1</sup> + Hand weeding.												
W <sub>3</sub> - Weed free.	0.313	2.953	3.044	5.214	1.901	-3.165	0.0495	0.0783	0.0251	0.0236	0.0052	-0.0090
W <sub>4</sub> - Weedy check.	0.274	1.665	2.188	4.268	0.617	-0.730	0.0451	0.0653	0.0220	0.0205	0.0024	-0.0072
General Mean	0.301	2.418	2.759	4.821	1.478	-2.310	0.0482	0.0732	0.0238	0.0212	0.0044	-0.0072

# 3.4 Relative Growth Rate (RGR) for Dry Matter (g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>)

The mean relative growth rate (RGR) for dry matter (g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) of Bt. cotton was influenced by high density planting. The 120 cm x 45 cm (S<sub>1</sub>) resulted in maximum mean relative growth rate (RGR) for dry matter at all the growth intervals from sowing up to harvest, while 90 cm x 45 cm (S<sub>3</sub>) recorded lowest RGR. However, the highest RGR value of 0.0761 g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> was recorded under 120 cm x 45 cm (S<sub>1</sub>), while 90 cm x 45 cm (S<sub>3</sub>) recorded minimum RGR value of 0.0702 g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> between 31-60 DAS.

Among different weed management practices, application of weed free treatment ( $W_3$ ) resulted in maximum mean relative growth rate (RGR) value at all growth interval stages. It was followed by Stale seed bed technique + PoE Pyrithiobac Sodium 10% EC @ 62.5 g ha<sup>-1</sup> + Quizolfop ethyl 5% EC @ 50g ha<sup>-1</sup> + Straw mulching ( $W_1$ ) and Stale seed bed technique +PoE Glufosinate ammonium 13.5% SL @ 0.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + Hand weeding ( $W_2$ ). The highest mean RGR value of 0.0716 g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> was recorded between 31-60 DAS under weed free treatment

 $(W_3)$ , while weedy check  $(W_4)$  recorded lowest value of 0.0664 g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> at same growth interval.

# 3.5 Leaf Area Index (LAI)

Appraisal of data in Table 3 revealed that the 90 cm x 45 cm  $(S_3)$  resulted in highest leaf area index (LAI) value, which was followed by 80 cm x 60 cm  $(S_2)$ . Among different planting density treatments, highest leaf area index value of 4.228 was recorded under 90 cm x 45 cm  $(S_3)$ , while the lowest value of 3.458 was recorded under 120 cm x 45 cm  $(S_1)$  at 91-120 DAS.

The application of weed free treatment  $(W_3)$  resulted in maximum leaf area index (LAI) value at all growth interval stages. It was followed by Stale seed bed technique + PoE Pyrithiobac Sodium 10% EC @ 62.5 g ha<sup>-1</sup> + Quizolfop ethyl 5% EC @ 50g ha<sup>-1</sup> + Straw mulching  $(W_1)$  and Stale seed bed technique +PoE Glufosinate ammonium 13.5% SL @ 0.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + Hand weeding  $(W_2)$ . The highest LAI value of 4.057 was recorded under weed free treatment  $(W_3)$ , while weedy check  $(W_4)$  recorded lowest value of 3.398 at 120 DAS.

Table 3. Mean Leaf Area Index (LAI) of *Bt.* cotton hybrid as influenced by high density planting and weed management practices

Treatment	Between days after sowing									
	0-30	31-60	61-90	91-120	121-150	151-At harvest				
A) Main plot – planting densities										
S <sub>1</sub> – 120 cm × 45 cm	0.124	0.992	2.44	3.458	1.835	1.097				
(18518 plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )										
$S_2$ – 80 cm × 60 cm	0.126	1.060	2.61	3.714	1.948	1.149				
(20833 Plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )										
$S_3$ - 90 cm × 45 cm	0.135	1.171	2.92	4.228	2.158	1.224				
(24691 Plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )										
B) Sub plot - Weed management pra	ctices									
W <sub>1</sub> - Stale seed bed technique + PoE Pyrithiobac Sodium 10% EC @ 62.5 g ha <sup>-1</sup> + Quizolfop ethyl 5% EC @ 50g ha <sup>-1</sup> + Straw mulching.	0.133	1.116	2.816	3.995	2.112	1.192				
W <sub>2</sub> - Stale seed bed technique +PoE Glufosinate ammonium 13.5% SL @ 0.7 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> + Hand weeding.	0.130	1.076	2.607	3.750	1.984	1.095				
W <sub>3</sub> – Weed free.	0.136	1.150	2.916	4.057	2.200	1.240				
W <sub>4</sub> - Weedy check.	0.114	0.957	2.296	3.398	1.625	1.100				
General Mean	0.128	1.074	2.658	3.800	1.980	1.156				

#### 4. DISCUSSION

The growth characters *viz.* plant height (cm), number of functional leaves, leaf area (dm²), dry matter per plant (g), number of monopodial and sympodial branches per plant, were substantially influenced by plant densities. The significantly taller plant was recorded with plant spacing of 90 cm  $\times$  30 cm (S₃) as compared to other plant spacings. The plant density of 120 cm  $\times$  45 cm (S₁) recorded maximum increased number of monopodial branches, sympodial branches per plant, functional leaves, leaf area, dry matter AGR and RGR as compared to other plant densities during both the years [12-14].

The growth characters viz. plant height (cm), numbers of functional leaves, leaf area (dm<sup>2</sup>), dry matter per plant (g), numbers of monopodial and sympodial branches per plant, were substantially influenced by weed management practices. Significantly taller plant was recorded with weed free (W<sub>3</sub>) which was at par with the Stale seed bed technique + PoE Pyrithiobac Sodium 10% EC @ 62.5 g ha<sup>-1</sup> + Quizolfop-ethyl 5% EC @ 50 g ha<sup>-1</sup> + Straw mulching (W<sub>3</sub>). Lowest growth characters of Bt. cotton was noticed with the weedy check (W<sub>4</sub>). The weed free (W<sub>3</sub>) recorded maximum numbers of monopodial and sympodial branches per plant, numbers of functional leaves, leaf area, dry matter, AGR, RGR, LAI and CGR as compared to other weed management practices during both the years.

## 5. CONCLUSION

High density planting 90 cm x 45 cm (S<sub>3</sub>) with application of weed free treatment resulted maximum AGR for plant height (cm day<sup>-1</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>) and Mean crop growth rate CGR (g/day/m<sup>2</sup>). AGR of Dry matter (g day<sup>-1</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>) was found to be maximum under 120 cm x 45 cm with weed free treatment. Relative Growth Rate (RGR) were also maximum under 120 cm x 45 cm (S<sub>1</sub>) with application of weed free treatment. Leaf Area Index (LAI) was found to be maximum under high density planting 90 cm x 45 cm.

#### **CONFERENCE DISCLAIMER**

Some part of this manuscript was previously presented in the conference: 3<sup>rd</sup> International Conference IAAHAS-2023 "Innovative Approaches in Agriculture, Horticulture & Allied Sciences" on March 29-31, 2023 in SGT University, Gurugram, India. Web Link of the

proceeding: https://wikifarmer.com/event/iaahas-2023-innovative-approaches-in-agriculturehorticulture-allied-sciences/

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# **REFERENCES**

- Shashi Kumar C, Ramachandra C. Growth and yield of cotton as influenced by planting geometry and genotypes under high density planting system. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2019;8(5):2073-2077.
- Pathrikar VB, Khaegakharate VK, Gaikwad, Ghuge RS. Response of hirsutum cotton to high density planting and nutrient management on growth and yield under Marathwada region. Agriculture and Engineering. 2018;VII. ISSN 2277-7601
- 3. Leela Rani P, Yakadri M, Ramprakash T. Effect of integrated weed management practices on growth and yield of *Bt.* cotton in Telangana state, India. Int. J. of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2016;5(2):17-25.
- Sanbagavallis S, Somasundaram E, Marimuthu S, Ramesh Chelvi. Stale seed bed technique of weed management. International Journal of Agriculture Science. 2016;8(61):3490–3493.
- Madavi B, Leela Rani P, Gade Shrinivas, Surekha K. Impact of high density planting and weed management practices on growth parameters and phenology of *Bt* cotton. Int. J. Curr. Microbiology. APP. Sci. 2017;6(9):2360-2372.
- Richards FI. The qualitative analysis of growth. Plant physiology - A treatise. Academic Press, New York. 1969;3(63).
- 7. Hunt R. Plant growth analysis studies in biology. Edward Arnold, London. 1978:37.
- 8. Blackman VH. The compound interest law and plant growth. Annals of Botany. 1919;33:353-360.
- 9. Fisher RA. Some remarks on methods formulated in recent article on quantitative analysis of plant growth. Annals of Applied Biology. 1921;7:367-372.
- Gregory FG. Physiological condition in cucumber houses. Exp. Res. Stn., Turners Hill, Chestnut, Hort. Ann. Rep. 1917;3: 19-29.

- Watson DJ. The physiological basis of variation in yield. Advances in Agronomy. 1952;4:101-145.
- Anonymous. Area, production and productivity of cotton of India and world. Ministry of Textiles; 2021<sup>a</sup>. Available:http://texmin.nic.in/sites/default/files/A7.pdf
- Access on September 25, 2022
- 13. Anonymous. Report of International Cotton Advisory Committee (ICAC). 2021<sup>b</sup>:2-4.
- 14. Reddy C. Plant density and nitrogen effects on growth and yield of *Bt* cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Thesis submitted to Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad; 2012.

© 2023 Mane et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/99847